	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/072,809	ANDERSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anne R. Kubelik	1638
Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Anne R. Kubelik</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Heeja Yoo-Warren</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11/17/69	Time: <u>4:00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:	·	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicant approved the proposed amendments		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
	r	
1. WATT		
14Mustral		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		